SENATOR CARSTEN: My other question is this then, if I may ask it. Would...do you feel more comfortable with the appropriation process being locked into the Constitution as opposed to, as has been suggested, locked into a statute which can be changed by a vote of the Legislature as opposed to a change by a vote of the people? Do you feel comfortable with that?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Carsten, I do not feel at all uncomfortable with the proposed constitutional amendment which incidentally, all requests for appropriatons now are requested on a biennial basis.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes, okay.

SENATOR WARNER: When we went to annual sessions we did not change the requirement for submission of appropriations biennially.

SENATOR CARSTEN: That is true.

SENATOR WARNER: The way the Constitution is, they are all submitted that way.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Very good, thank you, Senator Warner. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Warner, a few more questions if I may because I really am trying to understand how this would work. But is it possible under the Constitution the way it is to statutorily change our system so that we have appropriations on a two year basis instead of one? Can we do that by statute?

SENATOR WARNER: On a biennial?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes. The Constitution says each Legislature and I don't know if that means you have to do it every year or whether that means you can do it every two